

No.	Location	Feature	Issues associated with feature	FCD Issue	Affect Policy	Benefits/Why is issue important	Scale	Issue Type/ Theme	Who are the beneficiaries	What could affect its value/sustainability (Threats)	Is there enough of this benefit?	Potential for substitution	Objectives
LOWESTOFT - Gunton to Pakefield													
1	Corton	Corton Cliffs SSSI	Sections of the cliffs are vegetated but regular slips are keeping the faces open.	Yes	Yes	Contribution to Legal requirements; Stringent means of maintaining conservation value of Corton Cliff	National	E	Broader Society	Erosion resulting in loss of property however construction of defences could prevent slips but reduce geological value	No	No - a nationally important Pleistocene site.	To maintain site integrity and favourable conservation status
2	Gunton	Gunton Warren and Corton Woods LNR	Sediment loss / movement Disturbance Coastal squeeze	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	Local	E	Broader Society	Coastal squeeze and loss of recreational area for local community	Yes	Yes	Maintain societal and conservation value
600	Gunton Denes	Burial site of oil from Eleni V tanker spillage 1977.	Risk to public health and environment if exposed by erosion	Yes	Yes	Risk to public Health and Safety	Local	I	Local communities		Yes	No	Avoid harm to public health, the environment and recreation interests.
601	Lowestoft North Denes	Public open space, parks/gardens and sports ground	Restricted / loss of use due to flooding and erosion reducing public recreation and amenity opportunity.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, value to local economy	Local	R	Local communities		No	No	Sustain public recreation and tourism opportunity
602	Lowestoft North Denes	Disused civic waste dump	Risk to public health and environment if exposed by erosion	Yes	Yes	Risk to public Health and Safety	Local	I	Local communities		Yes	No	Protect site from erosion damage or excavate and dispose elsewhere.
603	Lowestoft North Denes	Industrial and commercial development	Loss of use or damage / value due to risk of flooding and erosion that would reduce the commercial viability of Town.	Yes	Yes	Value to regional economy	Regional	C	Regional and local communities		No	No	To sustain employment opportunities.
604	Lowestoft North Denes	Residential property	Risk of flooding and erosion to <50 residences.	Yes	Yes	Homes for people	Local	HA	Local community		No	No	Prevent / manage loss / damage from flooding or erosion
605	Gunton Denes to Lowestoft Harbour	Listed and Candidate Local Listed buildings and conservation areas including significant sites: Lighthouse, Maritime Museum	Risk of damage or loss by flooding and erosion.	Yes	Yes	Listed buildings of historical value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To identify nature and timing of risk and produce an action plan including options of protection, recording, and relocation.
6	Gunton Denes to Lowestoft Harbour	Critical service infrastructure systems including sewer outfall and gas distribution network.	Risk of erosion and flooding causing disruption to / loss of services with significant impacts upon hinterland and pollution threat.	Yes	Yes	Provision of sewage infrastructure and gas for local area	Local	HA	Local industries		No	No	To prevent loss of services and infrastructure to the local area due to flooding or erosion
3	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	Ness Point CWS	Loss of shingle beach due to insufficient sediment supply and high wave exposure	Yes	Yes	Can support both locally and nationally threatened species or habitats	Local	E	Local community	Significant construction work is required to maintain integrity of defences which could damage conservational value	Yes	Yes	To maintain site integrity
4	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	Ness Point	Tourism interest with Britain's Cardinal Point.	Yes	Yes	Tourism value	National	R	Local and regional community		No	No	To maintain Britain's Cardinal Point
5	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	Ness Point	Car park and other road infrastructure.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value	Local	R	Local community	Loss of Ness Point	Yes	Yes	To maintain access and facilities at Lowestoft Ness
7	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	Industrial and Commercial Property	Potential flooding and erosion risk.	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Regional	C	Regional community		No	No	To protect commercial and industrial properties from loss due to flooding or erosion
8	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	Industrial and commercial development including significant sites of BEW factory, SLP offshore fabrication yard, Wind Turbine and Renewables Centre.	Loss of use / value due to risk of flooding and erosion that would reduce the commercial viability of Town.	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Regional	C	Regional community	Loss of area due to flooding or erosion	No	No	Protect and manage risks to maximise commercial use potential and also support regeneration opportunities under URC.
9	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	Private defences	Hamilton Rd to Outer Harbour.	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Local	C	Local industries	Loss of local defences	No	No	To maintain the private defences along Hamilton Road
606	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	Lowestoft Town Centre - Residential property.	Risk of damage / disruption from flooding.	Yes	Yes	Homes for people	Local	HA	Local communities		No	No	To prevent loss of residential property due to erosion and flooding
607	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	Lowestoft Town centre – extensive commercial and retail development.	Risk of damage / disruption from flooding that would reduce the commercial viability of Town.	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Regional	C	Local and regional communities		No	No	To maintain the opportunity to maximise commercial use potential
608	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	Royal Norfolk and Suffolk Yacht Club	Tourism and recreation asset of international significance. Fixed assets at risk of damage from flooding. Sustainability of site under threat from erosion. Listed building.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value	Local	R	Local communities		No	No	To prevent the loss of recreational facility due to flooding or erosion
609	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	RNLI station	Public service asset of prime importance to seafaring safety. At risk of damage from flooding. Sustainability of site under threat from erosion.	Yes	Yes	Provision of rescue service for recreational users of the waterway	Regional	R	Regional communities		No	No	To prevent the loss of rescue facility due to flooding or erosion
23	Ness Point to Lowestoft Harbour	Lowestoft Town centre - critical 'A' road and rail transport routes including Bascule Bridge.	Risk of disruption / damage from flooding.	Yes	Yes	Important transport link	Regional	HA	Regional community		No	No	To prevent loss of road transport link due to flooding and erosion
10	Lowestoft Outer Harbour	Extensive mooring and quay areas including the Fish Dock.	Risk of loss of commercial / industrial assets from erosion	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Regional	C	Regional community		No	No	To protect commercial and industrial properties from loss due to flooding or erosion
11	Lowestoft Outer Harbour	Harbour	Present structures providing yachting and tourism facilities have impact on local coastal processes.	Yes	Yes	Commercial international port of economic importance to regional community	Regional	HA	Regional community	Interruption of natural physical processes	No	No	To maintain navigable channel for port operations in Lowestoft Harbour. For shoreline management not to have a detrimental impact on port operations
12	Lowestoft Outer Harbour	Kittiwake colony	Sited on southern side of North Pier, one of only two nesting sites in Suffolk	no	Yes	Tourism, ornithological and recreation value	Local	E	Local community	Sea level rise could reduce nesting potential and colony size	Yes	Yes	To maintain the RSPB bird watching site and tourist attraction
13	Lowestoft Harbour	Inner harbour	Interaction with flood risk to shore-based properties.	Yes	Yes	Commercial, industrial and residential properties	Local	C	Local community		No	No	To maintain flood defences along the inner harbour
14	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Leathes Ham LNR	Coastal squeeze Development Disturbance	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	Local	E	Broader Society	Loss of LNR through sea level rise would also result in loss of adjacent car park and foot/cycle path	Yes	Yes	Maintain societal and conservation value
610	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Heritage sites	Risk of damage from flooding	Yes	Yes	Archaeological value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To maintain historical character to the area
16	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Mutford Lock	Tidal control into Oulton Broad. Navigation link.	Yes	Yes	Transport link between Lothing and Oulton Broad	Regional	R	Regional community		No	No	To maintain tide levels for navigation between Lake Lothing and Oulton Broad

No.	Location	Feature	Issues associated with feature	FCD Issue	Affect Policy	Benefits/Why is issue important	Scale	Issue Type/ Theme	Who are the beneficiaries	What could affect its value/sustainability (Threats)	Is there enough of this benefit?	Potential for substitution	Objectives
17	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Railway Swing Bridge	Stability may be dependent on bed conditions/level.	Yes	Yes	Important transport link	Regional	HA	Regional community		No	No	To maintain rail transport link
18	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Railway alongside North bank, including station	Potential for flooding important transportation link.	Yes	Yes	Important transport link	Regional	HA	Regional community		No	No	To maintain rail transport link
18b	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Railway station	Important transport link	Yes	Yes	Important transport link	Regional	HA	Regional community		No	No	To maintain rail transport link
19	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Boatbuilding premises on North bank	Potential for flooding whilst needing clear access to waterfront.	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Local	C	Local community		No	No	To prevent loss of commercial property in port area due to flooding
20	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Lowestoft Cruising Club	Important and popular recreational facility.	no	Yes	Recreational value	Local	R	Local community		No	No	To maintain facility for recreational water sports activities
21	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Extensive industrial, commercial and retail development. Inc assets at boundary with Oulton Broad.	Risk of damage from flooding	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Regional	C	Regional and local communities		No	No	To maintain the opportunity to maximise commercial and employment potential
22	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Lowestoft Port	Potential for flooding of premises/works.	no	Yes	Commercial international port of economic importance to regional community	Regional	C	Regional and National communities		No	No	To maintain port operations
24	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Mutford Road Bridge	Vital transportation link across waterway.	Yes	Yes	Important transport link	Regional	HA	Regional community		No	No	To maintain road transport link
26	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Harbour tunnel	Important services conduit dependent on sufficient cover from river bed level.	no	Yes	Important service tunnel	Local	HA	Local community	Interruption of natural physical processes	No	No	To maintain services
27	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Landspring Drain and Kirkley Stream watercourse discharges.	Land drainage function will be threatened by sea level rise.	Yes	Yes	Provision of outfall structures for local surface run off	Local	HA	Local Community		No	No	To ensure land drainage function is sustained
611	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Kirkley Ham LNR.	Risk of flooding.	Yes	Yes	Recreational and environmental value	Local	E	Local communities		No	No	To maintain the management of the site in a sustainable fashion
612	Lake Lothing Floodplain	Recreation and amenity sites including marinas, waterside walks and open spaces.	Risk of loss due to flooding events and long-term water level rise creating squeeze against hard assets.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value	Local	R	Local communities		No	No	To maintain the management of risk to sustain / replace assets in response to water level rise
41	Oulton Broad to Bamby	Broadland SPA	None related to SMP policy	No	No	Stringent means of maintaining the conservation value of the site. Value for economy, recreation, agriculture and wildlife.	International	E	Broader Society	Saltwater inundation of the site due to sea level rise leading to loss of some habitats.	No - BAP targets for several key habitat types	Yes	To maintain site integrity, favourable conservation status and avoid deterioration. To maintain the differing types of management of the vegetation for a diverse range of plant communities.
42	Oulton Broad to Bamby	The Broads SAC	None related to SMP policy	No	No	Stringent means of maintaining the conservation value of the site. Value for economy, recreation, agriculture and wildlife.	International	E	Broader Society	Saltwater inundation of the site due to sea level rise leading to loss of some habitats.	No - BAP targets for several key habitat types	Yes	To maintain site integrity and contribute towards favourable conservation status and to maintain the differing types of management of the vegetation for a diverse range of plant communities.
30	Suffolk coast	Suffolk River Valleys ESA	Maintenance of permanent grassland by a traditional grassland management regime. Maintenance of woodland. Creation of marshland conditions. Management of fen.	no	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	Local	E	Broader Society	As the ESA encompasses river systems and surrounding farmland, fluvial flooding may have economic ramifications	Yes	Yes	To maintain the landscape character of the grazing marshes, river valleys and fens and their associated features, such as hedgerows, trees and ditches/dykes.
31	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Lowestoft - South of Claremont Pier Beach	Blue Flag beach - The way in which the beach is managed may have an impact on the condition and appearance of the beach.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Regional	R	Local and regional communities	Interruption of natural physical processes	No	No	To maintain Blue Flag status
31b	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Lowestoft - North of Claremont Pier Beach	Blue Flag beach - The way in which the beach is managed may have an impact on the condition and appearance of the beach.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Regional	R	Local and regional communities	Interruption of natural physical processes	No	No	To maintain Blue Flag status
613	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Lowestoft Pier	Potential loss of tourist attraction and leisure facility through erosion and flooding	no	Yes	Important recreational facility, value to local economy	Regional	R	Regional and local communities		No	No	To maintain local economy through use of Lowestoft Pier
32	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	South Beach	Extensive public open spaces, parks, gardens and promenades creating opportunity for recreation and staged events (notably Lowestoft Air show)	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Regional	R	Local and regional communities	Interruption of natural physical processes	No	No	To maintain key recreational and tourism opportunities with linked employment and regeneration benefits
34	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	South Beach	Dredging and Impact on beach levels.	no	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Regional	R	Local and regional communities	Interruption of natural physical processes	No	No	To maintain bathing beach for recreational use
38	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Church of St Peter and St John, Kirkley	LB II*	Yes	Yes	Grade II listed building of historical value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To maintain the listed structure
39	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Heritage sites notably Kirkley Church, RN&SYC plus Candidate Local Listed buildings on seafront.	Risk of damage or loss by flooding and erosion.	Yes	Yes	Archaeological value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To maintain historical character to the area
35	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Extensive residential property.	Risk of damage / loss from erosion and flooding	Yes	Yes	Homes for people	Local	HA	Local community		No	No	To prevent loss of residential property due to erosion and flooding
36	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Highway infrastructure in Kirkley	Principal route close to sea front, protected against erosion by existing defences.	Yes	Yes	Important transport link	Local	HA	Local community		No	No	To maintain seafront road
37	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Significant commercial centres - CEFAS Marine Laboratory and ESWC.	Risk of loss from erosion plus asset value reduction arising from change of use.	Yes	Yes	Important scientific facility	National	HA	Regional and National communities		No	Yes	To retain significant centre of employment
614	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Significant Tourism and Leisure facilities inc Claremont Pier, South Pier, The East Point Pavilion and numerous Restaurants, pubs and clubs.	Risk of damage / loss from erosion and flooding that would reduce the tourism appeal of the town.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Regional	R	Regional and local communities		No	No	To maintain key recreational and tourism opportunities with linked employment and economic value
615	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Extensive tourist accommodation in form of B&Bs, guesthouses and Hotels.	Risk of damage / loss from erosion and flooding that would reduce the tourism appeal of the town.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Regional	R	Regional and local communities		No	No	To maintain key recreational and tourism opportunities with linked employment and economic value
616	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	Kirkley Village community - local commercial and retail centre.	Risk of damage / loss from erosion and flooding	Yes	Yes	Value to local economy	Local	C	Local community		No	No	To prevent loss of commercial property in port area due to flooding
617	Lowestoft Harbour to Kirkley	St Mary's Primary School.	Risk of loss from erosion.	Yes	Yes	Educational value	Local	C	Local communities		No	No	To prevent loss of community assets due to flooding and erosion

No.	Location	Feature	Issues associated with feature	FCD Issue	Affect Policy	Benefits/Why is issue important	Scale	Issue Type/ Theme	Who are the beneficiaries	What could affect its value/sustainability (Threats)	Is there enough of this benefit?	Potential for substitution	Objectives
40	Barnby	Broadland Ramsar Site	None related to SMP policy	No	No	Stringent means of maintaining the conservation value of the site. Value for economy, recreation, agriculture and wildlife.	International	E	Broader Society	Saltwater inundation of the site due to sea level rise leading to loss of some habitats.	No - BAP targets for several key habitat types	Yes	To maintain favourable condition for Ramsar features and to maintain the differing types of management of the vegetation for a diverse range of plant communities.
43	Lowestoft	The Broads National Park	None related to SMP policy	No	No	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	National	E	Broader Society	Saltwater inundation of the site due to sea level rise leading to loss of some habitats.	Yes	Certain elements may be substituted for others in adjacent areas	To maintain favourable status of landscape
45	Suffolk coast	The Broads ESA	None related to SMP policy	No	No	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	Local	E	Broader Society	Saltwater inundation of the site due to sea level rise leading to loss of some habitats.	Yes	Yes	To maintain the traditional landscape character of the Broads grazing marshes, river valleys and fens and their associated elements such as dykes, hedges, wooden gates and trees.
46	Pakefield to Kessingland	Extensive areas of residential property seaward of A12.	Risk of loss from erosion.	Yes	Yes	Homes for people	Local	HA	Local community		No	No	To prevent loss of residential property to erosion
47	Pakefield to Kessingland	Significant tourist accommodation in form of Caravan sites and Guesthouses.	Major contributor to local tourism economy. Potential reduction in site area through erosion.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Regional	R	Regional community		No	Yes	To maintain integrity of the caravan park while sustainable
48	Pakefield to Kessingland	Pontin's Holiday Camp	Potential loss through erosion.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Regional	R	Regional community		No	No	To maintain integrity of Pontin's Holiday Camp
618	Pakefield to Kessingland	Extensive shingle beach, dune and shoreline public open spaces used by walkers, fishermen and horses.	Risk of loss from erosion.	Yes	Yes	Recreational and environmental value	Local	R	Local communities		No	No	To maintain the management of the site in a sustainable fashion
619	Pakefield to Kessingland	Leisure facilities inc Restaurants/pubs.	Risk of loss from erosion.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Local	R	Local communities		No	No	To prevent loss of recreational facilities due to flooding and erosion
620	Pakefield to Kessingland	Community facilities inc Seagull Theatre, a Youth Club, Scout Hall, Church Hall.	Risk of loss from erosion.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Local	R	Local communities		No	No	To prevent loss of recreational assets critical to community identity
621	Pakefield to Kessingland	Beach-launched fishing boats and huts	Risk of loss / damage from erosion.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Local	R	Local communities		No	Yes	To prevent loss of recreational facilities due to flooding and erosion
622	Pakefield to Kessingland	International telecom cable landing sites	Risk of exposure to damage from erosion.	Yes	Yes	Important communications facility	International	C	International and national communities		No	No	To avoid risk to public recreation and navigation.
623	Pakefield to Kessingland	Heritage sites notably arch. finds in eroding cliff deposits, Pakefield Church plus Candidate Local Listed buildings.	Risk to buildings / sites of damage or loss by flooding and erosion.	Yes	Yes	Archaeological value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To protect archaeological deposits from loss due to erosion
49	Pakefield to Kessingland	Disused waste disposal site	Public health threats caused by polluted groundwater flows emerging at cliff face.	Yes	Yes	Important waste disposal facility	Local	I	Local impacts		No	Possibly	To protect the land surrounding Gisleham Tip from leachate contamination
623	Pakefield to Kessingland	Pakefield landfill site	Public health threats caused by polluted groundwater flows emerging at cliff face.	Yes	Yes	Important landfill facility	Regional	I	Regional impacts		No	No	To protect the land surrounding landfill from leachate contamination
624	Pakefield to Kessingland	Gisleham - Farm land (commercial interests)	Risk of loss from erosion	Yes	Yes	Commercial value, value to local economy	Regional	C	Regional and local communities		No	No	To maintain local economy through existing agricultural practise
50	Pakefield to Kessingland	Pakefield Cliffs (see Pakefield to Easton Bavents SSSI)	Area south of Pakefield Holiday Centre is part of Pakefield to Easton Bavents SSSI. Special interest is identified as the Benacre Ness Geological Conservation Review Site. The northwards movement of this feature needs to be considered.	Yes	Yes	Stringent means of maintaining conservation value of Pakefield to Eastern Bavents	National	E	Broader Society	Coastal erosion could result in loss of public footpath and access along coast	No - BAP targets for several key habitat types	Potential for recreation of habitats but not geological features	To maintain site integrity
51	Pakefield to Kessingland	Pakefield Cliffs CWS	Development, Disturbance, Coastal erosion Sea level rise, Increased storm frequency and intensity Changes in current shoreline management Natural processes	Yes	Yes	Stringent means of maintaining conservation value of Pakefield to Eastern Bavents	Local	E	Broader Society	Coastal erosion could result in loss of public footpath and access along coast	Yes	Yes	To maintain site integrity
52	Pakefield to Kessingland	Pakefield Cliffs BAP Habitat	Sea level rise Coastal erosion Natural processes	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	International	E	Broader Society	Further details on the BAP designations are available in the Appropriate Assessment	No	Yes	Conserve, protect and enhance biological diversity
53	Pakefield to Kessingland	Kessingland Beach	Maintenance access.	no	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Regional	R	Regional community		No	Yes	To maintain access to Kessingland Beach
54	Pakefield to Kessingland	Kessingland Beach (see Pakefield to Easton Bavents SSSI)	The beach in front of the village is part of Pakefield to Easton Bavents SSSI. Special interest is the geomorphological Benacre Ness Geological Conservation Review Site. The northwards movement of this feature needs to be considered.	Yes	Yes	Stringent means of maintaining conservation value of Pakefield to Eastern Bavents, recreational and economic value	National	E	Broader Society	Coastal erosion may affect the holiday park along the cliff top and affect local economy	No - BAP targets for several key habitat types	Potential for recreation of habitats but not geological features	To maintain site integrity
55	Pakefield to Kessingland	Kessingland Beach BAP habitat	Sea level rise Coastal erosion Natural processes	Yes	Yes	Amenity value; educational value; conservation value	International	E	Broader Society	Further details on the BAP designations are available in the Appropriate Assessment	No	Yes	Conserve, protect and enhance biological diversity
56	Pakefield to Kessingland	Church of All Saints & St Margaret, Pakefield	LB II*.	Yes	Yes	Grade II listed building of historical value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To maintain the listed structure
57	Pakefield to Kessingland	Church of All Saints & St Margaret, Pakefield	Related medieval settlement in vicinity - High archaeological potential.	Yes	Yes	Archaeological value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To maintain historical character to the area
58	Pakefield to Kessingland	Adjacent Pontin's, GSE 034 etc possible substantial Roman building	Significant archaeological deposits, currently eroding features.	Yes	Yes	Archaeological value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To protect archaeological deposits from loss due to erosion
59	Pakefield to Kessingland	Pakefield Cliffs, GSE 061, pre-Anglian early hominid activity (tools)	Significant archaeological deposits of international significance; finds from eroding cliff deposits.	Yes	Yes	Archaeological value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To maintain exposure of finds
625	Pakefield to Kessingland	Beach launching fishing boats	Risk of loss / damage from erosion	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value, value to local economy	Local	R	Local communities		No	Yes	To prevent loss of recreational facilities due to flooding and erosion
626	Pakefield to Kessingland	Residential property.	Risk of loss from erosion	Yes	Yes	Homes for people	Local	HA	Local communities		No	No	To prevent loss of residential property from flooding and erosion
627	Pakefield to Kessingland	Key infrastructure i.e. local roads and sewerage.	Risk of loss / damage from flooding and erosion.	Yes	Yes	Provision of infrastructure and access to the local area	Local	HA	Local communities		No	Yes	To maintain access and function of infrastructure to local area

No.	Location	Feature	Issues associated with feature	FCD Issue	Affect Policy	Benefits/Why is issue important	Scale	Issue Type/ Theme	Who are the beneficiaries	What could affect its value/sustainability (Threats)	Is there enough of this benefit?	Potential for substitution	Objectives
628	Pakefield to Kessingland	Leisure facilities inc. restaurant and public house.	Risk of loss / damage from flooding and erosion.	Yes	Yes	Recreational value, tourism value	Local	R	Local communities		No	No	To prevent the loss of recreational facility due to flooding or erosion
629	Pakefield to Kessingland	Heritage sites plus Candidate Local Listed buildings.	Risk of damage or loss by flooding and erosion.	Yes	Yes	Archaeological value	Regional	H	Regional community		No	No	To maintain historical character to the area by preventing the loss of listed structures due to flooding or erosion